UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| 10/593,657 | 04/16/2007 | Hans-Joachim Runge | 930008-2210 (BOE0006US.N | 2806 |
| Jane Massey Li | 7590 05/20/200 cata, Esquire | EXAMINER | | |
| Licata & Tyrrell P.C. 66 E. Main Street Marlton, NJ 08053 | | | KLINKEL, KORTNEY L | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 1611 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/20/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/593,657 | RUNGE ET AL. | | |
| | | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |

| | Kortney L. Klinkel | 1611 | |
|--|---|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appea | rs on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence addr | ess |
| THE REPLY FILED 30 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL | ICATION IN CONDITION | FOR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on t application, applicant must timely file one of the following re application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appea for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods: | eplies: (1) an amendment al (with appeal fee) in com | affidavit, or other evidence, wipliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) | visory Action, or (2) the date er than SIX MONTHS from to). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) W | ne mailing date of the final rejection | n. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date o have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sh set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | nsion and the corresponding ortened statutory period for i | amount of the fee. The appropria eply originally set in the final Office | te extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wit AMENDMENTS | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.3 | 7(e)), to avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by (a) They raise new issues that would require further cons (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or | sideration and/or search (/); | see NOTE below); | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11 | 6 and 41.33(a)). | | OTOL 224) |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed. | | , | • |
| non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: |] will not be entered, or b | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | sufficient reasons why the | e affidavit or other evidence is r | necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. | ercome all rejections und | er appeal and/or appellant fails | to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but | | • | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (F13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | |
| /Sharmila Gollamudi Landau/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1611 | /Kortney L. Klink Examiner, Art Ur | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments, such as cancellation of claims, filed 4/30/2009 may create 35 USC 112 2nd paragraph issues and would therefore require further consideration. The after-final amendments require further consideration.